

District.	No. of road works.		Outlay incurred.		
			Rs.	a.	p.
1. Bangalore	...	8	...	58,928	13 0
2. Hassan	...	5	...	19,015	3 0
3. Shimoga	...	186	...	2,16,549	0 0
4. Kolar	...	464	...	3,33,363	15 0
5. Tumkur	...	307	...	5,06,256	0 0
6. Bellary	...	1	...	22,852	10 0
7. Chikmagalur	...	16	...	5,760	0 0
8. Mysore	...	3	...	4,798	9 0
9. Chitaldrug	..	434	...	6,35,423	2 6
Total		1,424		18,02,947	4 6

(c) Many of the roads have been completed and some are yet to be completed. It is proposed to attend to the completion, formation, consolidation and metalling of some road works if the financial assistance sought for from the Centre is received. The condition of the roads is stated to be generally fair.

(d) The matter is under correspondence. The Deputy Commissioners have been requested to hand them over to the District Boards concerned for maintenance in consultation with the Presidents of the District Boards. The President, District Board, Bangalore, has already agreed to take over the completed roads for maintenance.

(e) *Vide* reply to (d).

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—May I know the number of road works still incomplete?

Mr. SPEAKER.—He wants notice. How can he give these details?

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What is the amount required for the completion of the works that are still incomplete?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Roughly about Rs. 2 lakhs.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—You have said that you have asked the Central Government to give some financial aid for the completion of these works and that the works will be taken up as soon as the financial assistance is received from the Centre. What is the amount that the Centre has been requested to pay?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There was a proposal to give us in the shape of loan about Rs. 42 lakhs.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Shall I take it that unless the Central Government gives this amount, the State Government is not prepared to complete this work?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The State Government is prepared; but want of finances is the main obstacle.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Are the Government aware that the recent heavy rains have washed out many of these incomplete roads?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Not many of them, but only a few have been washed away.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—What are the proposals that the Government will be putting forward to complete these works which are in distress area now?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—If the Centre gives financial assistance, we will complete these roads. Otherwise, the State Government itself will have to take them up.

Sri H. C. LINGA REDDY.—Is it true that District Boards are not themselves in a position to look after their own works.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Some of their roads are taken over by the Government and therefore their work has been lessened.

*Action taken by the Department of Anti-Corruption on corruption complaints.*

Q.—123. Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY (Malavalli).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) how many complaints were received by the Department of Anti-Corruption since last two years up-to-date;

(b) how many cases were referred to the Department of Anti-Corruption by the Government during the same period;

(c) how many cases were disposed of by the Department of Anti-Corruption during the same period;

(d) the number of persons against whom the action was taken during the same period;

(e) who they are and the community to which they belong?

A.—Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA (Minister for Revenue and Public Works).—

(a) 3,127.

(b) 188.

(c) 3,719.

(d) 353.

(e) A statement is placed on the Table of the House. [Placed in the Library of the Legislature—No 6/L.A./54.] Particulars as to the community of the persons involved are not available.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Will the Government be pleased to state how many cases are still pending before the department?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Out of 3,127 petitions received during the period in question, 2,825 were disposed of.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is there any time limit fixed for the disposal of the cases by the Anti-Corruption Department?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—No time limit can be prescribed. But they have been instructed to dispose of the cases very promptly.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is it not a fact that some cases which are two years old are still pending?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—May be due to various reasons. For instance, witnesses not coming forward to depose and such other circumstances.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Does not this delay involve in suppressing evidence?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Not at all. The Anti-Corruption Department is not responsible for the delay.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—May I know what is the trouble in giving information about the community to which the officials punished belong?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The punishment is not awarded on the basis of the community.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—But I want to know to which department the persons belong?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why should you know that? It is not decided on community basis.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Community is not mentioned in the records.

Sri B. P. NAGARAJA MURTHY.—Is it not available in the record to know the names of the community to which they belong?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There is no need.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether the Anti-Corruption Department takes notice of anonymous petitions and complaints made against officials and officers?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—In cases where there is every evidence to show that there is some truth in the allegation, Government refer all such cases.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—But what I would like to know is whether the Anti-Corruption Department start investigation on the basis of anonymous complaints or unsigned complaints?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes, in some cases.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the basis for thinking that this practice is desirable?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Because in some cases there is every evidence to show that there is some basis for the allegation. If there are repeated representations and petitions or any

evidence to show that a certain officer is corrupt, that will be the basis, some sort of basis, for inference.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I understand that the Government, inspite of having other evidence, wait for anonymous complaints to be made to the Director?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Other evidence may not be sufficient to start an enquiry. But subsequent repeated filing of such anonymous petitions give rise to an inference that there must be some truth in the matter.

Sri M.V. RAMA RAO.—May I know what is the law under which authority is exercised by the Director of Anti-Corruption?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Departmental rules and also Anti-Corruption Act.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are they governed by the Prevention of Corruption Act of the Central Legislature which applies to the State or are they governed by any other law?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—If I remember correct, the Central Act applies to cases where prosecution is launched against officers.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What are the provisions which relate to other enquiries which this officer seems to have been empowered to make.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is departmental enquiry, as I have already said. The Anti-Corruption Department is deemed as a department of Government.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—What is the procedure governing these departmental enquiries and under what provision of law they are made?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That I have answered on a previous occasion.

Sri M.V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether complaints against Police Department are being handled by the Director of Anti-Corruption Department?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes; complaints against all-officers belonging to every department.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Are the Government aware that the Police Act is a special enactment laying down

some procedure for investigation into charges against police officers?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Yes.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—Then, how do the Government proceed to place these matters in the hands of the Director, which is against the Police Act?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The procedure adopted by the Director is not in conflict with the procedure laid down in the Police Act?

Sri M.V. RAMA RAO.—May I know whether the Government, without being too certain about the particular grounds, will look into the matter and satisfy themselves about the legality?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The Hon'ble Member raised the same question for discussion and I have got it examined.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—By whom?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—By the Law Secretary to Government.

Sri M. V. RAMA RAO.—May I ask the Government whether they have any objection to getting the matter examined by the Advocate-General and taking his legal opinion?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—Absolutely no objection.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಮುಖ್ಯಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳ ನಂಬಂದಿಗಳಾದ ವಿ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರವರೇ ಬಂದಿದ್ದ ದೂರು ಏನಾಯಿತು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Mr. SPEAKER.—Why do you use the word 'brother of' so and so? That is objectionable.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಶ್ರೀ ವಿ. ನಂಜಪ್ಪನವರವರೇ ಬಂದಿದ್ದ ದೂರು ಏನಾಯಿತು, ಸ್ವಾಮಿ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—It is under the consideration of the Public Service Commission.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಗೋಪಾಲಗೌಡ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಹಿಡಿಯಬಹುದು ಎಂದು ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರಿಗೆ ಗೊತ್ತಿದೆಯೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಪ್ಪ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಎಷ್ಟು ಕಾಲ ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್‌ನವರು ತೆಗೆದುಕೊಳ್ಳುತ್ತಾರೋ; ನಾನು ಹೇಗೆ ಹೇಳುವುದಕ್ಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ?

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—May I know, Sir, what harm is there in the Members of this Assembly knowing the communities of the officers against whom proceedings have been instituted and who have been punished?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The list of officers has been placed on the Table of the House and here is another list of

(SRI KADIDAL MANJAPPA.)

persons against whom enquiry has been dropped or who have been exonerated. They can look into this statement and find out.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The Hon'ble Minister has not understood the question. I request the Hon'ble Member to repeat the question.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—What harm is there in the Members of this House knowing the community of the officers against whom proceedings have been instituted and who have been punished?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—There may not be any harm. But the statement has not been compiled on the basis of the community.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Is the Government incapable of finding out the community to which the officers who have been punished belong?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—With some difficulty, it may be possible. In the case of gazetted officers, it will be easy. In the case of non-gazetted officers, it is very difficult.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Even in the case of non-gazetted officers it should be possible for Government to find out to which community they belong.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—So far we have not got at the record mentioning the community to which the delinquent belongs.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—Sir, I do not admit that. There is bound to be a mention of the community in Government records.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I am mentioning about the report of the Anti-Corruption Department.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The service register contains the full description of the individual, father's name, community and so on.

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—I will place the entire list on the table, Sir. Let them find out for themselves.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The list does not indicate the community.

Sri L. SIDDAPPA.—When the information is asked, it is the duty of the Government to furnish that information.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹುಬ್ಳೇಗೌಡ.—ಪಬ್ಲಿಕ್ ಸರ್ವಿಸ್ ಕಮಿಷನ್‌ನವರು ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕ ನಾನ್ ಗೆಜೆಟೆಡ್ ಆಫೀಸರುಗಳ Half-year list ಕಮ್ಯುನಿಟಿವಾರ್ ಇರುವುದಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—That does not arise out of this question.

Mr. SPEAKER.—That information is easily available in the Service Register.

Sri B. HUTCHE GOWDA.—If the information is easily available, why not the Minister supply it, Sir?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—If the information is easily available, I may assure the House that I will get that information.

Sri M.L. LINGANNA.—May I know, out of 35 recommendations made by the Anti-Corruption Department, in how many cases Government have taken action?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—The list has been placed on the table of the House.

Sri M.L. LINGANNA.—May I know, Sir, whether the Government did take action as per the recommendations of the Anti-corruption Department or did they once again call for records and come to that conclusion?

Sri KADIDAL MANJAPPA.—In cases where punishment is recommended by the Special Officer, the matter has to be referred to the Public Service Commission for their opinion, and after getting their opinion, the Government come to the final conclusion.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.—ಆಂಟಿಕರಪ್ಷನ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್ ಪ್ರಾರಂಭವಾದಮೇಲೆ ಈ ಕರಪ್ಷನ್ ಹೆಚ್ಚಾಯಿತೇ ಅಥವಾ ಕಡಮೆ ಆಯಿತೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಕಡಿದಾಳ್ ಮಂಜಪ್ಪ.—ಕಡಮೆ ಆಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ನಮ್ಮ ಭಾವನೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಆರ್. ಅನಂತರಾಮ್.—ಲಂಡನ್‌ನಲ್ಲಿ ರೈಲ್ವೆ ಅಧಿಕಾರಿಗಳ ಆಂಟಿಕರಪ್ಷನ್ ಡಿಪಾರ್ಟ್‌ಮೆಂಟ್‌ನ ಪೋಲೀಸಿನವರು ಪ್ರಾಸಿಕ್ಯೂಷನ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಅವಕಾಶಕೊಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ರೆಕಮೆಂಡೇಷನ್ ಮಾಡಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

(No answer.)